

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/927,619		08/10/2001	Arvind D. Patel	11836.0702.NPUS00	5353
27551	7590	06/04/2003			
STEPHEN			EXAMINER		
750 BERIN	IG DRIVE		TUCKER, PHILIP C		
HOUSTON	1, 1X //0	15 /		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
				1712	7
				DATE MAILED: 06/04/2003	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	927619 Examiner		
	Examiner	TUCKER GR	oup Art Unit
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	s on the cover sheet b	eneath the corres	pondence address—
eriod for Reply			
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO FINIS COMMUNICATION.	O EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FR	OM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a new fixed from the fixed above, such period shall, by defaulting to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated and the fixed from the fixed	eply within the statutory mi t, expire SIX (6) MONTHS fi tute, cause the application	nimum of thirty (30) dar rom the mailing date of to become ABANDON	ys will be considered timely. this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
tatus		•	
\mathfrak{S} Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\frac{3/19}{}$	103		·
↑ This action is FINAL.			
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935	for formal matters, pro 5 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 21;	osecution as to the	e merits is closed in
sposition of Claims			
A Claim(s) 1, 4-9 11, 13, 14, 17-	22,25-30,3	2,35 is/are pendi	ng in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	•		rawn from consideration.
□ Clạim(s)		is/are allowe	ed.
Q Claim(s) 1, 4-9, 11, 13, 14, 17-22, 25-	-30,32,35	is/are reject	ed.
□ Claim(s)		is/are object	ted to.
□ Claim(s)			to restriction or election
oplication Papers		requirement	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		• •	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objec	ted to by the Examiner		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)			
•	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a	u)(d).	
iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a	u)–(d).	
iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority u All Some* None of the: Certified copies of the priority documents have been re	eceived.		
iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority u All Some* None of the: Certified copies of the priority documents have been re	eceived. eceived in Application N		·
iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority u All Some* None of the: Certified copies of the priority documents have been re Certified copies of the priority documents have been re Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents	eceived. eceived in Application N s have been received	ło	
iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority u All Some* None of the: Certified copies of the priority documents have been re Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been re to copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the International	eceived. eceived in Application N s have been received Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	No	•
iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority u All Some* None of the: Certified copies of the priority documents have been re Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been re to the copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the International	eceived. eceived in Application N s have been received Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	No	
iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority u All Some* None of the: Certified copies of the priority documents have been re Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been re to copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the International	eceived. eceived in Application N s have been received Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	No	
iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority u All Some* None of the: Certified copies of the priority documents have been re Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been re to the copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the International	eceived. eceived in Application N s have been received Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	No	·
iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority u All Some* None of the: Certified copies of the priority documents have been re Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the International *Certified copies not received:	eceived. eceived in Application Nos have been received Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	No 2(a)) nterview Summary,	·

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No. ________

Application/Control Number: 09/927619

Art Unit: 1712

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 4-9, 11, 13, 14, 17-22, 25-30, 32 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 96/18383 in view of Brown (2900336) and Patel (5189012).

WO '383 teaches a water in oil emulsion which comprises a polyalphaolefin continuous phase which can comprise a polyglyceryl fatty acid diester such as triglyceryl diisostearate (page 3, lines 6-9). The use of diglyceryl diisostearate would be obvious over the teaching of the compounds diglyceryl dipalminate and triglyceryl diisostearate, and the general teaching of a polyglyceryl fatty acid diester by WO '383. The emulsion is taught as being useful as a drilling fluid. WO '383 differs from the present invention in that the specific use of a weighting agent is not exemplified. Brown teaches that drilling fluids, such as water in oil emulsions will have weighting materials such as barite, iron oxide or galena added to impart proper density (column 1, lines 25-30). Patel teaches that polyalphaolefin continuous phase water in oil emulsions may comprise weighting materials, such as barite, iron oxide and calcium carbonate to

Application/Control Number: 09/927619

Page 3

Art Unit: 1712

as drilling fluids.

impart a specific density to the drilling fluid (column 9, lines 23-32). The examples further teach the use of calcium chloride in the drilling fluid emulsions (see examples). It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize weighting materials as taught by Brown and Patel, in the fluid of WO '383, given the teaching of Brown and Patel that such weighting materials are useful in obtaining the desired density for water in oil emulsion drilling fluids. The utility of such fluids in drilling subterranean formations would be obvious over the teaching of their utility

- 3. Applicants amendment has distinguished over Jakobson. A new rejection is presented herein in view of WO 96/18383.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip Tucker whose telephone number is (703) 308-0529. The examiner's normal working hours are 7:30am-4:00pm, Monday-Friday. If necessary SPE Robert Dawson may be contacted at 703-308-2340. For inquiries of a general nature call the receptionist at 703-308-0651. The group FAX no. is 703-872-9310. The after final fax no. Is 703-872-9311.

PCT-2816 May 30, 2003

ART UNIT 1712